WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2006

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

A Professional Corporation
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3
Basic Financial Statements: Governmental Fund Balance Sheet / Statement of Net Assets	9
Statement of Governmental Fund Revenues and Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance / Statement of Activities	10
Notes to Financial Statements	11
Required Supplementary Information: Statement of Revenues and Expenditures – Budget and Actual	17
Supplemental Schedules and Information: Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures by Program	18
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with GovernmentAuditing Standards	22
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	24
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	26
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	27
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	28
Report of Independent Certified Public Accountants on Compliance with the State of Utah Legal Compliance Guidelines	29

Hansen, Barnett & Maxwell

A Professional Corporation
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
5 Triad Center, Suite 750

Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1128 Phone: (801) 532-2200 Fax: (801) 532-7944 www.hbmcpas.com Registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Board of Directors Wasatch Front Regional Council Salt Lake City, Utah

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of Wasatch Front Regional Council (the Council) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of Wasatch Front Regional Council's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Wasatch Front Regional Council, as of June 30, 2006, and the changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 through 8 and budgetary comparison information on page 17 are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated September 19, 2006 on our consideration of Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations. The

purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Wasatch Front Regional Council's basic financial statements. The schedule of revenues and expenditures by program is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The schedule of revenues and expenditures by program has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. In addition, the accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Hansen, BARNETT & MAXWELL

Salt Lake City, Utah September 19, 2006

Management's Discussion and Analysis

As management of the Wasatch Front Regional Council (the Council), we offer readers of the Council's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

History and Background

The Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) was organized as a volunteer organization in March 1969, among Davis, Salt Lake, and Weber Counties and the cities within, for the purpose of establishing a review agency to comply with requirements to obtain federal grants and loans, and to address the solutions of regional problems. In June 1969, Tooele County and the municipalities within, and, in 1972 Morgan County and the municipalities within, joined the Regional Council. In 1973, the WFRC was designated by the governor of Utah as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Salt Lake and Ogden metropolitan areas. MPOs are agencies responsible for transportation planning in urbanized areas throughout the United States. Transportation planning in the region is a cooperative effort of state and local agencies, and as the MPO, the WFRC is responsible for coordinating this transportation planning process. In addition to the transportation planning process, WFRC provides assistance to small communities with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) applications and provides a forum for local governments to cooperate in resolving problems and developing plans that are common to two or more counties or are regional in nature.

The Council consists of a governing board of eighteen voting members who are elected mayors, councilpersons and commissioners from the five county region. Of those voting members, eight represent Salt Lake County, four each represent Davis and Weber counties and one each represent Tooele and Morgan counties.

Transportation planning in the Salt Lake Area has been a continuing effort for over three decades. In the 1960's UDOT developed the first Long Range Plan for the area. Since 1973, the WFRC has developed Long Range Transportation Plans and updated them regularly. The process is comprehensive in nature, addressing all modes of transportation, including highways, transit, trucking, rail, and air. Transportation Plans are also part of the comprehensive planning for the overall development of the region.

Two main products are developed through the transportation planning process. The first is a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), which recommends improvements to highways, transit, and other modes, to meet the transportation needs of the area over a 20-year period and beyond. The second is a Transportation Improvement Program or TIP. The TIP is a five-year capital improvement program for highway, transit, and aviation projects, contained in the RTP. The RTP is updated every three years, while the TIP is approved annually.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 Wasatch Front Regional Council received funding from the following sources:

Federal Highway Administration	\$	1,426,971	51 00/
Federal Transit A 1	Ψ	•	51.0%
Federal Transit Administration		482,359	17.3%
Community Development Block Grant		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
City Carry 2 of Clopinett Diock Grant		142,623	5.1%
State of Utah		125,867	1.50/
Local Governments		,	4.5%
		207,196	7.4%
Other		100 046	, •
		409,946	14.7%

Financial Highlights

The following table summarizes changes in the organization's assets and liabilities.

	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>Change</u>
Cash and Investments Accounts Receivable Prepaid Expenses Inventory Capital Assets Depreciation Total Assets	\$ 457,758 533,635 6,206 1,105 1,317,014 (364,599) 1,951,119	\$ 547,520 609,278 3,338 3,766 1,336,053 (449,700) 2,050,255	\$ 89,762 75,643 (2,868) 2,661 19,039 (85,101) 99,136
Accounts Payable Accrued Payroll Liabilities Deferred Revenue Accrued Compensated Absences Lease Revenue Bond Total Liabilities	\$ 151,620 731 94,742 272,876 1,010,000	\$ 286,694 62,323 301,185 965,000 1,615,202	\$ 135,074 (731) (32,419) 28,309 (45,000) 85,233

Management considers the fluctuation in cash, receivables, prepaid expenses, inventory, accrued payroll liabilities and accrued compensated assets to be normal for this organization. Deferred revenues are advance payments received from local government and other sources for projects in process. Those projects are anticipated to be completed within a few months of the close of the fiscal year. The decrease in lease revenue bonds is a result of regular scheduled payments on the principal balance during the year. Throughout the year, the Council invested funds not immediately needed for operations with the Utah State Public Treasurer's Investment Fund (PTIF). Proceeds from those invested funds were \$12,902 for the year.

There was a less than 1% change in revenue and expenditures for the year. Management considers this to be normal for this organization. From time to time the Council enters into agreements with other agencies to conduct various transportation related studies. For this fiscal year those included work on On-Board Transit Survey, Tooele Regional Plan, Homeless Housing Study, Transit Corridor Studies, and the Ogden Transit Study.

Overview of the Financial Statements

The discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to Wasatch Front Regional Council's basic financial statements. This report is similar to the last fiscal year's and is in compliance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34. In addition to the Management's Discussion and Analysis, the report consists of government-wide financial statements, fund financial statements, and notes to the financial statements. The first several statements are highly condensed and present a government-wide view of the Council's finances. The governmental activities of the Wasatch Front Regional Council include transportation planning, hazard mitigation planning, providing technical assistance to and workshops for small communities for CDBG applications, administrative support to Salt Lake County Council of Governments and planning assistance to rural communities.

Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements are a relatively new component of the Council's report. These statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the Council's finances in a manner similar to private-sector business reporting.

The statement of net assets, a component of the government-wide financial statements, presents information on all of the Council's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. The Organization's capital assets (land, buildings and equipment) are included in this statement and reported net of their accumulated depreciation. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Council is improving or deteriorating. In evaluating the government's overall condition, however, additional non-financial factors should be considered such as the Council's economic outlook, changes in its demographics, and the condition of its capital assets.

The statement of activities presents revenue and expense information showing how the Council's net assets changed during the fiscal year. To understand the basis of how these numbers are determined, it is important to note that changes in net assets are reported whenever an event occurs that requires a revenue or expense to be recognized, regardless of when the related cash is received or disbursed (the accrual basis of accounting). For example, assessment revenues are reported when the assessments are billed, even though they may not be collected for some time after that date; and an obligation to pay a supplier is reported as an expense when the goods or services are received, even though the bill may not be paid until some time later.

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 9 and 10 of this report.

Fund financial statements. A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts (revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities) that is used to control resources that have been segregated for specific activities. The Wasatch Front Regional Council, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds utilized by the Council are accounted for in a single governmental fund.

Governmental Funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, for accounting and reporting purposes, government fund numbers are determined with a different

approach. At the fund level, the focus is on changes in short-term spendable resources and the balance available to spend, rather than the long-term focus used for determining government-wide numbers. Because the focus is so different between fund statements and government-wide statements, reconciliation between the two types is necessary to understand how the numbers differ. Such reconciliation is provided on pages 9 and 10 of this report. The General Fund is the primary operating governmental fund of the Council. To demonstrate legal compliance, a statement comparing budget-to actual numbers for the General Fund is included in the financial statements.

Financial Analysis

The Council's fund balance, may serve over time, as a useful indicator of an organization's financial position. In the case of the Council, assets exceeded liabilities by \$435,053 at the close of fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. Net assets are comprised of current assets and capital assets (property and equipment). Currently the Council's capital assets net of related debt and depreciation is a negative \$78,647. This can be explained by a difference in the rate of depreciation versus the rate of principal reduction related to the purchase of the Council's office building. In September of 2001, the Council, through Davis County Municipal Building Authority, purchased the office building for its occupation by issue of Lease Revenue Bonds. The bonds are payable in semi-annual payments, including principal and interest, over a seventeen year period. The Council records depreciation on the building using a straight-line method over the same seventeen year period. At the end of the fiscal year 2006 the difference between the balance due on the bonds and the net depreciated value of the building and the value of the land was \$130,352. This difference will diminish as the bond principal payments increase over the years. The remaining fixed assets, including leasehold improvements and furniture and equipment, have a value net of depreciation of \$51,704 with no related debt. The Council uses these capital assets for day to day operations; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending.

The following table describes the Council's net assets;

Current and other assets Property and equipment	\$ 1,163,902 886,353
Total Assets	\$ 2,050,255
Long-term liabilities outstanding Other liabilities	\$ 1,122,432 492,770
Total liabilities	\$ 1,615,202
Fund equity: Invested in property and equipment, net of Restricted for debt service Unrestricted	(78,647) 95,940 417,760
Total net assets	\$ 435,053

A portion of the Council's net assets (22%) has been reserved in accordance with provisions of the Lease Agreement with Davis County Municipal Building Authority for the final year's payment of the Lease Revenue Bonds issued for the purchase of the Council's office building.

The Council's net assets increased by \$13,904 during the fiscal year due in part to contributions and interest earned on cash balances.

Key elements of the increase in net assets are as follows;

Revenues:	
Federal sources	\$ 2,051,953
State sources	125,867
Local sources	617,142
Interest income	 13,642
Total revenues	 2,808,604
Expenses:	
Program	2,748,370
Interest	46,330
Total expenses	 2,794,700
Increase in net assets	13,904
Net assets – beginning	421,149
Net assets – ending	\$ 435,053

Budgetary Highlights

The Council approved its fiscal year 2006 budget on May 26, 2005. During the year the budget was amended to include additional funding for the following projects: \$8,000 for Technical Assistance to small cities for the CDBG application process; \$10,000 for additional work on the Visioning Process; \$10,000 for a Homeless Housing Study; and \$30,000 for a legislative consultant.

Capital Assets

The Council's investment in property and equipment as of June 30, 2006 amounts to \$886,353, net of accumulated depreciation. This investment includes land, buildings, and related improvements. The total decrease in the Council's investment in property and equipment for the current fiscal year was 7%. During the year the Council purchased furniture and equipment during the year in the amount of \$19,039. The Council uses straight-line method of depreciation over the estimated useful life of the assets.

Property and Equipment (net of depreciation)

Land	\$ 105,888
Buildings	728,760
Improvements	20,151
Furniture & Equipment	31,553
Total	\$ 886,353

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Council's finances for all those with an interest. Questions regarding any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to:

Wasatch Front Regional Council 295 North Jimmy Doolittle Road Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

Attention: Loveit Baumgardner, Comptroller

As management of the Wasatch Front Regional Council (the Council), we offer readers of the Council's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE SHEET / STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2006

		General Fund	Adjustments	Statement of Net Assets
Assets				
Cash and investments	\$	451,580	\$ -	\$ 451,580
Restricted cash		9 5,940	-	95,940
Accounts receivable:				
Federal sources		370,777	-	370,777
State sources		57, 87 5	-	57,875
Other sources		180,626	-	180,626
Prepaid expenses		3,338	-	3,338
Inventory		3,766	-	3,766
Capital assets				
Land		-	105,888 a	105,888
Building		-	952,993 a	952,993
Leasehold improvements		-	24,062 a	24,062
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		•	253,110 a	253,110
Accumualted depreciation			(449,700) a	(449,700)
Total Assets		1,163,902	886,353	2,050,255
Liabilities		206.604	A	¢ 297.704
Accounts payable	\$,	\$ -	\$ 286,694
Deferred revenue		62,32 3	-	62,323
Compensated absences			06.752	06 752
Due within one year		-	96,753 b	96,753
Due after one year		-	204,432 b	204,432
Lease revenue bond			47.000 h	47,00 0
Due within one year		-	47,000 b	918,000
Due after one year		<u>-</u> _	918,000 b	
Total Liabilities		349,017	1,266,185	1,615,202
Fund Balances/Net Assets				
Fund balances:		301,185	(301,185)	-
Reserved for compensated absences Reserved		95,940	(95,940)	_
Unreserved		417,760	(417,760)	-
Unreserved	_			
Total fund balances		814,885	(814,885)	
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	<u>_</u>	1,163,902		
Net Assets:				
Investment in capital assets, net of related debt			(78,647) c	(78,647)
Restricted for debt service			95,940 d	95,940
Unrestricted			417,760	417,760
Total Net Assets			\$ 435,053	\$ 435,053

a - To record net capital assets not recorded in the governmental fund.

b - To record lease revenue bonds payable and compensated absences which are not recorded in the governmental fund.

c - To record investment in capital assets net of related debt.

d - To record restriction for debt service.

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL STATEMENT OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE / STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

	General Fund				Statement of Activities	
Revenues						
Federal sources	\$ 2	,051,953	\$	-	\$	2,051,953
State sources		125,867		-		125,867
Local sources		617,142		-		617,142
Interest income		13,642				13,642
Total Revenues	2	,808, 604		_		2,808,604
Expenditures/expenses						
Current						
Salaries and employee benefits	1	,970,370		-		1 ,97 0,37 0
Contractual services		490,910		-		49 0,91 0
Property and equipment acquisition		19,039		(1 9,0 39) a		-
Equipment repairs & maintenance		13,302		-		13,302
Building operating expense		44,443		85,100 c		129,543
Travel		38 ,506		-		38,50 6
Training		5,181		-		5,181
Publications		6,765		-		6,765
Office supplies		52,413		-		52,413
Telephone		9,708		-		9,708
Accounting		11,536		-		11,536
Dues and subscriptions		6,204		-		6,204
Legal		444		-		444
Insurance		13,178		-		13,178
Bank charges and other		310		-		31 0
Debt service						
Principal		45,000		(4 5,0 00) b		-
Interest and fiscal charges		46,330				46,330
Total expenditures/expenses	2	,773,639		21,061		2,794,700
Excess Revenues Over Expenditures/Expenses		3 4,965		(21,061)		-
Excess Revenues Over Expenditures and Other Financing Sources		34,965		(34,965)		-
Change in net assets		-		13,904		13,904
Fund balances/net assets						
Beginning of year		478,735		(57,586)		421,149
End of year	\$	513,700	\$	(78,647)	\$	435,053

a - Capital outlays that were included as part of current expenditures in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances need to be reported instead as capital assets in the government-wide statement of net assets.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

b - Debt service principal payments are reported separately in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances. These expenditures must be removed and replaced by a corresponding reduction in the related liability reported in the government-wide statement of net assets.

c- To record depreciation expense on capital assets.

NOTE 1-ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization — The Wasatch Front Regional Council (Council) is a voluntary organization comprised of representatives of local governments located along the Wasatch Front. The Council was organized in 1969 for the purpose of meeting at regular intervals to discuss and study community challenges of mutual interest and concern and to develop policy and action recommendations for ratification and implementation by the governments in the area served by the Council.

In evaluating how to define the government, for financial reporting purposes, management has considered all potential component units according to the criteria set forth in Governmental Accounting Standards Board's (GASB) Statement No. 14 and concluded there are no entities that are considered to be component units of the Council, nor is the Council considered a component unit of any other entity.

The Council's programs are funded by Federal grants, state appropriations and grants, and various local contributions, primarily on a year-to-year basis.

These financial statements have been prepared using the full-accrual method of accounting for all of the Council's activities.

The accounting policies of the Council conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to governmental units. The following is a summary of the more significant of such policies:

Short-Term Investments — Short-term investments are held by the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund and are recorded at cost which approximates market value.

Capital Assets – The Council capitalizes all assets over \$500 and values the assets at historical cost. Depreciation of capital assets is computed using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Building and leasehold improvements
Furniture, fixtures and equipment

17 years 3-5 years

Inventories — Inventory is recorded at cost and valued on a first-in first-out basis.

Accrued Unemployment Benefits — The Council, by agreement with the Utah State Department of Employment Security, does not pay unemployment taxes. Instead, the Department of Employment Security bills the Council directly for applicable unemployment benefits payable to former Council employees. The Council accrues a reserve for unemployment benefits based on one-half of one percent of gross wages.

Accrued Vacation Expense — The cost of employee vacations is recorded as an expenditure at the time it is earned by the employee and is charged to the programs on which the employee works.

Accrued Sick Leave — Sick leave benefits are vested and any unused benefits may be redeemed as cash payments for any accrued hours over 80 hours or upon termination of employment.

Revenues From Local Sources — Revenues from local sources are generally used to meet matching revenue requirements related to Federal grants and for other approved projects. Such revenues from local sources are recognized in the period in which the funds are received. These revenues and the related receivables are principally with local governmental entities represented on the Council.

Deferred Revenue — Funds which are specifically restricted as to their use are recorded as revenue when the related costs are incurred. Such funds received in advance of costs incurred are recorded as deferred revenue.

Indirect Costs — Indirect costs are charged to the various programs on a monthly basis. Such costs are comprised of total overhead costs for the month and are allocated based on the total man-hours worked in each program.

Budget — Annual budgets are adopted by the Board of Directors. The Council has no legal requirement to hold public hearings. Budgets are submitted to the State of Utah. The budgets are adopted using the modified accrual basis of accounting.

Estimates — The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Council to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results may differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2-CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The Council maintains a cash and investment pool, which includes cash on hand, one cash account and one investment.

The Council's deposit and investment policy is to follow the Utah Money Management Act. However, the Council does not have a separate deposit or investment policy that addresses specific types of deposit and investment risks to which the Council is exposed.

Utah State law requires that the Council's funds be deposited with a "qualified depository" as defined by the Utah Money Management Act. "Qualified depository" includes any depository institution which has been certified by the Utah State Commissioner of Financial Institutions as having met the requirements as defined in Rule 11 of the Utah Money Management Act. Rule 11 establishes the formula for determining the amount of public funds which a qualified depository may hold in order to minimize risk of loss and defines capital requirements which an institution must maintain to be eligible to accept public funds.

The Utah Money Management Act also governs the scope of securities allowed as appropriate temporary investments for the Council and conditions for making investment transactions. Investment transactions are to be conducted through qualified depositories or primary reporting dealers.

As of June 30, 2006, the Council had the following deposits and investments:

Deposit and investment type	Fair Value
Cash on deposit	\$ 80,797
State Treasurer's investment pool	370,583
Total	\$ 451,380

Interest rate risk—The Council manages its exposure to declines in fair value by only investing in the Utah Public Treasurers Investment Fund.

Credit risk - As of June 30, 2006, the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund was unrated.

Custodial credit risk – deposits – In the case of deposits, this in the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Council's deposits may not be returned. As of June 30, 2006, \$0 of the deposits were exposed to custodial credit risk because it was uninsured and uncollateralized.

Custodial credit risk – investments – In the case of investments, this is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the Council will not be able to recover the value of its investments that are in the possession of an outside party. The Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund is an external deposit and investment pool wherein governmental entities are able to pool the monies from several entities to improve investment efficiency and yield. These monies are invested primarily in money market securities and contain no withdrawal restrictions. As such, the monies invested in this fund are not insured and are subject to the same market risks as any similar investment in money market funds.

Components of cash and investments (including interest earning deposits) at June 30, 2006, are as follows:

Cash on hand	\$	200
Cash on deposit	4	80,797
Utah State Treasurer's investment pool account		370,583
Total cash and investments	\$	451,580

Cash and investments are included in the accompanying statement of net assets as follows:

Cash and investments	\$ 451,580
Restricted cash	95,940
Total cash and investments	\$ 547,520

NOTE 3-ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE - FEDERAL

Accounts receivable from federal sources as of June 30, 2006 consisted of the following:

FHWA - Utah Department of Transportation	\$ 174,708
FTA - Utah Transit Authority	101,193
HUD - Department of Housing and Urban Development	94,876
Total	\$ 370,777

NOTE 4-PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of changes in property and equipment for the year ended June 30, 2006 is as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2005	_Additions	Retirements	Balance June 30, 2006
Land	\$ 105,888	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 105,888
Building	952 ,993	_	· -	952,993
Leasehold improvements	24,062	-	-	24,062
Office equipment, furniture and fixtures	234,071	19,039	-	253,110
Less accumulated depreciation	(364,599)	(85,101)		(449,700)
Total	\$ 952,415			\$ 886,353

NOTE 5-LONG-TERM DEBT

On September 4, 2001, the Council acquired land and a building to house its offices. The building was acquired through the Davis County Municipal Building Authority ("Authority"). The Authority issued \$1,153,000 of Lease Revenue Bonds with interest rates ranging from 4.6% to 5.1% with an interest rate renewal every five years. The Lease Agreement between the Authority and the Council calls for semi-annual payments of interest and principal each February and August through September 1, 2019 which coincide with the payments due on the Lease Revenue Bonds. At the end of the lease term, the Council will receive title to the property. The Lease Agreement meets the criteria for a capital lease in accordance with *Government Accounting Standards*. The future lease payments equal to the bond principal payments are included as an adjustment to reconcile the fund balance sheet to the statement of net assets.

The future lease payments for bond principal and interest are as follows based on the current interest rates:

Year	Principal	Interest	Total
2007	47,000	46,656	93,656
2008	49,000	44,334	93,334
2009	51,000	41,890	9 2, 890
2010	54,000	39,296	93,296
2011-2015	315,000	152,600	46 7,6 00
2016-2020	449,000	64,893	513,893
	\$ 965,000	\$ 389,669	\$1,354,669

NOTE 6-NET ASSETS

The unrestricted net assets at June 30, 2006 was designated by the Council for future programs and local matching for Federally-funded projects. The reserved fund balance relates to cash held in a restricted account related to the lease revenue bonds.

NOTE 7-RISK MANAGEMENT

The Council is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the Council carries commercial insurance. The Council carries a Workers' Compensation policy for which the premiums are based on past experience.

Liabilities are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated and will be above the amount to be covered by insurance. As of June 30, 2006, the Council has one possible liability as a former employee is seeking retirement contributions of over \$18,000 on a compensated time payout upon the cessation of employment. The Council feels that all amounts due this former employee have been paid and that no additional compensation is warranted. The Council is currently uncertain as to how this matter will be settled and accordingly has not accrued any potential loss that may result.

NOTE 8-RETIREMENT PLANS

Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System — The Council contributes to the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System, which is a cost sharing multi-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Utah Retirement Systems (Systems) for all regular full-time and eligible part-time Council employees. Utah Retirement Systems provide refunds, retirement benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries in accordance with retirement statutes.

The Systems are established and governed by the respective sections of Chapter 49 of the Utah Code annotated 1953 as amended. The Utah State Retirement Office Act in Chapter 49 provides for the

administration of the Utah Retirement Systems and Plans under the direction of the Utah State Retirement Board (Board) whose members are appointed by the governor. The Systems issue a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System. A copy of the report may be obtained by writing to the Utah Retirement Systems, 540 East 200 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84102 or by calling 1 (800) 365-8772.

Plan members in the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System are required to contribute 6.00% of their annual covered salary (all or part may be paid by the employer for the employee) and the Council is required to contribute 7.08% of their annual covered salary. The contribution rates are the actuarially determined rates. The contribution requirements of the Systems are authorized by statute and specified by the Board.

The Council's contributions to the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System for the years ending June 30, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$170,316, \$181,781, and \$158,215, respectively. Salaries subject to contribution under the Plan for each of the respective years were \$1,302,108, \$1,389,757, and \$1,362,748. In each year, the contributions were equal to the required contributions for each year.

401(a) Qualified Money Purchase Plan — The Council provides a 17.9% of salary retirement benefit for all regular, full-time and eligible part-time employees. From that amount, the Council first pays the required contribution to the Utah State Retirement Systems Contributory Pension Plan (13.08% for FY 2006). The Council then pays for a life and disability policy for each employee. Any remaining amounts are contributed to a privately administered 401(a) qualified money purchase plan. Contributions for the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 were \$156,210 and \$163,582, respectively, and include employer match to employee 401(k) and 457 contributions (described below), and represent 12% and 11.8% of total participating employees' salaries and wages

401(k) and 457 Defined Contribution Plans — The Council has established qualified 401(k) and 457 plans with the Utah State Retirement System. Employees may contribute up to the legally allowed contribution. The Council matches employee contributions up to a maximum 7.65% of salary, however these matching funds are contributed to the privately administered 401(a) Qualified Money Purchase Plan described above.

Social Security (FICA) Federal Program The Council does not participate in the federal Social Security (FICA) Program.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES — BUDGET AND ACTUAL GENERAL OPERATING FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

	Budgeted Amounts							Variance With Final Budget Positive	
D.		Original		Final		Actual	(Negative)		
Revenues Federal sources	\$	2,3 27, 742	\$	2,327,742	S	2,051,953	\$	(275,789)	
State sources	•	103,125	Φ	131,125	Φ	125,867	Ψ	22,742	
Local sources		521,341		551,341		617,142		95,801	
Interest income		521,541		551,541		13,642		13,642	
Total Revenues		2,952,208		3,010,208		2,808,604		(143,604)	
Expenditures		2,732,200		3,010,200		2,000,001		(1,12,001)	
Current									
Salaries and employee benefits		2,299,666		2,239,416		1,970,370		329,296	
Contractual services		199,000		317,250		490,910		(291,910)	
Property and equipment acquisition		40,0 00		40,000		19,039		20,961	
Equipment repairs & maintenance		-		, <u> </u>		13,302		(13,302)	
Equipment use charges		66,245		66,245		-		66,245	
Building operating expense		47, 570		47,570		44,443		3,127	
Rent - facility		106,273		106,273		-		106,273	
Travel		33,464		33,464		38,506		(5,042)	
Training		19,140		19,140		5,181		13,959	
Publications		30,0 00		30,000		6,765		23,235	
Office supplies		69,524		69,524		52,413		17,111	
Telephone		9,267		9,267		9,708		(441)	
Accounting		15,439		15,439		11,536		3,903	
Dues and subscriptions		-		_		6,204		(6,204)	
Legal		16,620		16,620		444		16,176	
Insurance		-		-		13,178		(13,178)	
Bank charges and other		-		-		310		(31 0)	
Debt service									
Principal		-		-		4 5,00 0		(45,00 0)	
Interest and fiscal charges						46,330		(46,330)	
Total expenditures		2,952,208		3,010,208		2,773,639		178,569	
Excess Revenues Over Expenditures		-		-		34,965		34,965	
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year		478,735		478,735		478,735			
Fund Balance, End of Year	\$	478,735	\$	478,735	\$	513,700	\$	34,965	

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULES AND INFORMATION

DESCRIPTION	CPG GRANT	ON BOARD SURVEY	UTA 5303 2006 MATCH	TOOELE RPO	LOCAL GOVT SERVICE	UTA/MAG MODELER
REVENUES						
Federal sources:	\$ 1,684,020	\$ 190,124	s -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total federal sources	1,684,020	190,124			-	-
State sources:						
State grants	6,0 00	-	-	40,000	-	-
State matching funds	-	-	-	-		_
Total state sources	6,0 00	-	-	40,000	-	-
Local sources:						
Cities and counties	95,066	-	-	3,000	14,917	-
Other	21,221	47,531	35,2 75	_	-	59 ,503
Total local sources	116,287	47,531	35,275	3,000	14,917	59,503
TOTAL REVENUE	1,806,307	237,655	35,275	43,000	14,917	59 ,503
EXPENDITURES						
Salaries and employee benefits	1,285,881	37,089	12,925	33,141	_	41,961
Contractual services	40,974	188,263	18,494	-	1,429	4,799
Building - rental	19,913	-	-	_	~	, <u> </u>
Equipment - purchase	•	-	-	-	_	_
Equipment - rental	6,730	-	-	-	_	_
Equipment - repair/maintenance	1 ,7 27	_	-	-	-	-
Rent - building operating exp	8,937	-	-	-	-	_
Travel	24,021	120	-	(21)	5,701	-
Training	2,695	-	-	-	- -	-
Publications	5,188	=	-	-	-	-
Supplies & Software	17,759	22	-	_	2,705	
Telephone	5,9 50	-	-	-	_	_
Accounting	-	-	-	-	_	-
Dues and subscriptions	318	-	-	-	5,082	-
Legal	-	-	-	-	-	-
Insurance	-	-	-	-	_	-
Bank charges and other	-	_	-	-	-	-
Debt Service - principal	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Service - interest	-	_	-	-	-	-
Indirect cost	386,214	12,161	3,856	9,880	-	12,743
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,806,307	237,655	35,275	43,000	14,917	59 ,503
Fund balance - June 30, 2005		-	<u> </u>		_	
Fund balance - June 30, 2006	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

SLO	cog	но	HOMELESS/ HOUSING STUDY		CIB GROWTH PLANNING		CDBG KAYSVILLE 2006		RRC TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE		CIB \$2K GRANT		CDBG YSVILLE 2005
\$	34,839	\$	-	\$	· <u>-</u>	\$	60,037	\$	-	\$	-	\$	47 ,746
-	34,839				-		60,037						47 ,746
			10,0 00		49,000		-		8,00 0		2,000		-
			10,000		49,000				8,000		2,000		-
	65,258		-		_		_		_		-		_
	,	•	-		-		-		-		-		-
	65,258		-										-
	100,097		10,000		49,000		60,037		8,000		2,000		47,746
	74,515		5,117		39, 792		42,505		5,649		1,500		33,726
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	1,10 9		-		-		405		-		-		342
	-		-		-		- 275		-		-		160
	-		-		-		275 -		_		_		168
	445		-		-		156		-		_		164
	819		486		-		665		_		_		691
	-		-		_		-		_		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-		-		_
	30 3		-		-		191		-		-		7
	286		-		-		185		-		-		129
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	-				-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	-		-		-		-		-		-		-
	-				-		-		-		-		-
	22,620		4,397		9,208		15,655		2,351		500		12,519
l	1 0 0,09 7		10,000		49,000		60,037		8,000		2,000		47,746
					-		<u> </u>		_		-		-
\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	S	-	\$	-

DESCRIPTION ·	3500 SOUTH			OGDEN TRANSIT STUDY	WEST JORDAN LRT	CPG 2005	
Federal sources:	\$ -	S -	\$ -	\$ -	s -	\$ 35,186	
Total federal sources	-			-		35,186	
State sources:						·	
State grants	859	-	-	-	_	_	
State matching funds	-	-	-	_	_	_	
Total state sources	859				-	-	
Local sources:							
Cities and counties	-	-	<u>-</u>	1,875	_	2,555	
Other	_	157,520	23,320	31,555	20,975	2,555	
Total local sources		157,520	23,320	33,430	20,975	2,555	
TOTAL REVENUE	859	157,520	23,320	33,430	20,975	37,741	
EXPENDITURES				*			
Salaries and employee benefits	644	_	18,058	_	16,110	25,027	
Contractual services	•	157,520	10,006	33,430	10,110	23,027	
Building - rental		-	_	55,450	_	964	
Equipment - purchase	_	_			-	904	
Equipment - rental		_	_	_	_	682	
Equipment - repair/maintenance		_		_	_	062	
Rent - building operating exp	-	_	_	_	_	_	
Travel	-	-	_	_	_	991	
Training	-	_	-	=	_	-	
Publications	-	_	-	-	_	1,577	
Supplies & Software	-	-	_	_	_		
Telephone	-	-	-	_	_	_	
Accounting	-	-	_	_	_	_	
Dues and subscriptions	-	-	-	-	_	-	
Legal	-	=	-	-	_	_	
Insurance	~	=	-	-	_	-	
Bank charges and other	-	_	-	-	_	-	
Debt Service - principal	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Debt Service - interest	-	-	-	-		-	
Indirect cost	215	•	5,262	-	4,865	8,500	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	859	157,520	23,320	33,4 30	20,975	37,741	
Fund balance - June 30, 2005	<u></u>	-	-	-			
Fund balance - June 30, 2006	\$ -	<u>s</u> -	S -	s -	\$ -	<u>s</u> -	

\$ 2,051,953 - 2,051,953 - 125,867 - - - 125,867 - - - 207,195 - 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 81,078 - - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	INDIRECT		HEALTHY UTAH	GOPB/ ENVISION	FY2005 LEGISLATIVE
- 2,051,953 - 125,867 - 125,867 - 125,867 - 207,195 - 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	GENERAL	CONSULTANT	GRANT	UTAH	CONSULTANT
- 2,051,953 - 125,867 - 125,867 - 125,867 - 207,195 - 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204		_		•	¢
- 125,867 125,867 125,867 125,867 207,195 - 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	<u> </u>	\$ -	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ - </u>	-
- 125,867 - 207,195 - 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204			-		
- 125,867 - 207,195 - 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	-	-	8	10,000	-
- 207,195 - 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204			-	-	
- 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204			8	10,000	-
- 409,947 - 617,142 - 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	524	24.000		-	-
- 2,794,961 296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	47	<u> </u>	1,000		12,000
296,730 1,970,370 - 490,910 81,078 19,039 19,766 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	571	24,000	1,000	-	12,000
- 490,910 81,078	571	24,000	1,008	10,000	12,000
- 490,910 81,078 - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204		-		-	_ "
81,078 - - 19,039 19,766 - 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204		24,000	_	10,000	12,000
- 19,039 19,766 11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	(103,811)	-	-	-	-
19,766	19,039	-	-	-	=
11,575 13,302 34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	(27,621)	•	-	-	-
34,741 44,443 5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	-	-	-	-	-
5,033 38,506 2,486 5,181 - 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	•	-	-	-	-
- 6,765 30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	-	-	-	-	-
30,417 52,413 3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	-	-	-	-	-
3,158 9,708 11,536 11,536 803 6,204	-	-	-	-	-
11,536 11,536 803 6,204	-	-	800,1	-	-
803 6,204	-	-	•	-	~
	= '	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	•
444 444	-	-	-	-	•
13,178 13,178	-	-	-	-	=
- 310	311	-	-	-	-
- 45,000	45,000	-	-	-	-
- 46,330	46, 330	-	-	-	-
(510,946)		<u> </u>	-		
(1) 2,773,639	(20,752)	24,000	1,008	10,000	12,000
- 13,642	13,642	-	-	-	-
- 13,642	13,642		-		-
- 34,965	34,965	_	_	_	<u>-</u>
478,735	478, 735	-	-	-	-
	\$ 513,700 \$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

A Professional Corporation
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

5 Triad Center, Suite 750 Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1128 Phone: (801) 532-2200 Fax: (801) 532-7944 www.hbmcpas.com Registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Board of Directors
Wasatch Front Regional Council
Salt Lake City, Utah

We have audited the financial statements of Wasatch Front Regional Council as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Wasatch Front Regional Council's

financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

Hansen, Barrell & Lyofwell

Salt Lake City, Utah September 19, 2006

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

A Professional Corporation
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
5 Triad Center, Suite 750
Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1128

Phone: (801) 532-2200 Fax: (801) 532-7944 www.hbmcpas.com Registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFITED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To Board of Directors
Wasatch Front Regional Council
Salt Lake City, Utah

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Wasatch Front Regional Council with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2006. The Council's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Wasatch Front Regional Council's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Wasatch Front Regional Council's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis evidence about Wasatch Front Regional Council's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Wasatch Front Regional Council's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Council complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2006.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Council is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Wasatch Front Regional Council's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of the Board of Directors, management and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

Hansen, Barnell + Lyapurel

Salt Lake City, Utah September 19, 2006

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor-Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Agency or Pass-Through Number	Federal Expenditures
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			•
Pass-through from Utah Department of Transportatio Federal Transit Administration Federal Transit Administration Pass-through from Utah Transit Authority: Federal Transit Administration	n: 20.507 20.507 20.507		\$ 1,684,020 35,186 190,124
Total U. S. Department of Transportation			1,909,330
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
Pass-through from State Department of Community and Economic Development through Kaysville City, Utah: Community Development Block Grant	14.228	05-2509	60,037
Pass-through from State Department of Community and Economic Development: Community Development Block Grant	14.228	04-2100	47,746
Pass-through through West Jordan City, Utah: Community Development Block Grant	14.228	WJCD.18	6,219
Pass-through through Salt Lake Count, Utah: Community Development Block Grant	14.228	BV03040C	28,621
Total U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			142,623
TOTAL			<u>\$ 2,051,953</u>

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

NOTE 1-SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following information regarding the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is provided to assist the reader in understanding the accounting policies regarding, and the nature of the federal awards.

Basis of Accounting — The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting, the same basis used by the Council in its financial reporting. Receivables are recorded when appropriate program expenditures are made and the Council has a claim for reimbursement.

Pass-Through Source of Awards — The Council receives the bulk of its federal award programs from the U.S. Department of Transportation through the Utah Department of Transportation.

WASATCH FRONT REGIONAL COUNCIL SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

- 1. The auditor's report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of Wasatch Front Regional Council.
- 2. No reportable conditions with regards to the internal control over the financial statements are reported.
- No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of Wasatch Front Regional Council were disclosed as a result of the audit.
- 4. No reportable conditions with regard to internal control over the major federal award programs are reported.
- 5. The auditor's report on compliance for the major federal award programs of Wasatch Front Regional Council expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 6. There are no audit findings relating to the federal award programs that are required to be reported.
- 7. The program tested as a major program was Federal Transit Administration, CFDA Number 20.507.
- 8. The threshold for distinguishing Types A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. The Wasatch Front Regional Counsel was determined to be a low-risk auditee as defined by OMB Circular A-133.

B. FINDINGS — FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

No findings to report.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS — MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

No findings to report.

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

A Professional Corporation
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

5 Triad Center, Suite 750
Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1128
Phone: (801) 532-2200
Fax: (801) 532-7944
www.hbmcpas.com

Registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board



REPORT OF INDEPENDENT CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE OF UTAH LEGAL COMPLIANCE GUIDELINES

The Board of Directors
Wasatch Front Regional Council
Salt Lake City, Utah

We have audited the basic financial statements of Wasatch Front Regional Council (the Council) for the year ended June 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated September 19, 2006. The Council received the following non-major grants which are not required to be audited for specific compliance requirements: (However, these programs were subject to test work as part of the audit of the Council's financial statements.)

- Utah Department of Transportation
- Utah Transit Authority
- Community Impact Board
- Department of Community and Economic Development

Our audit included test work on the Council's compliance with those general compliance requirements identified in the State of Utah Legal Compliance Audit Guide including:

- Cash Management
- Purchasing Requirements
- Budgetary Compliance
- Other Compliance Requirements

The management of the Council is responsible for the Council's compliance with all compliance requirements identified above. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with those requirements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the requirements referred to above occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Council's compliance with those

requirements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. The results of our audit procedures disclosed no instances of noncompliance with the requirements referred to above.

In our opinion, the Wasatch Front Regional Council complied, in all material respects, with the general compliance requirements identified above for the year ended June 30, 2006.

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

Salt Lake City, Utah September 19, 2006

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

A Professional Corporation
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
AND
BUSINESS CONSULTANTS

5 Triad Center, Suite 750 Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1128 Phone: (801) 532-2200 Fax: (801) 532-7944 www.hbmcpas.com Registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board



January 9, 2007

MacRay A. Curtis, CPA
Director
Office of The State Auditors Office
P.O. Box 142310
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-2310

We have been engaged by Wasatch Front Regional Council to perform certain agreed-upon procedures with respect to assertions made by Mr. Wilbur Jefferies in a letter dated June 26, 2006. As the State Auditor's Office received a copy of the letter from Mr. Jefferies, Wasatch Front Regional Council has asked that we provide you a copy of our report dated January 9, 2007. Please see the enclosed report which describes the procedures performed and our findings.

Sincerely,

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

Ross L. Youngberg, PA

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

A Professional Corporation
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
AND
BUSINESS CONSULTANTS

5 Triad Center, Suite 750
Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1128
Phone: (801) 532-2200
Fax: (801) 532-7944
www.hbmcpas.com

Registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board



INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

The Budget Committee Wasatch Front Regional Council Salt Lake City, Utah

Mrs. Betty F. Bangerter Financial Manager FHWA-Utah Division Salt Lake City, Utah

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by you, solely to assist you with respect to the certain assertions made by Mr. Wilbur Jefferies in a letter dated June 26, 2006 about Wasatch Front Regional Council's alleged erroneous billings to federal programs. Wasatch Front Regional Council's management is responsible for the payroll and compensated absences and related payouts. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties named in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are as follows:

- 1. We reviewed the Council's vacation and sick leave (compensated absences) policies to determine what the required accrual is at the time an employee earns the benefit. We found that the Council is only required to accrue for compensated absences at the time the benefit is earned. The Council only pays benefits on compensated absences when sick or vacation leave is used. When employment is terminated, compensated absences are paid to the former employee in a lump sum with no employee benefits such as retirement.
- 2. We reviewed the Council's compensated absence accruals for each month of 2003 and 2004 for select employees and determined that the proper accruals were made and funded and that the Council is appropriately not including retirement and other benefits when compensated absences are earned, but only when used.
- 3. We reviewed the Council's monthly billings to the federal programs and determined that they are calculated correctly and uniformly each month and include the appropriate accrued compensated absence expense as it is earned without retirement or other benefits. When compensated absences were used, the billings appropriately included retirement and other benefits.

- 4. We reviewed the Council's retirement plans (Utah Retirement Systems and 401(a) program administered by ICMA) participation to determine if retirement contributions are required to be made for unused compensated absences paid out on termination. Utah Retirement Systems policy states that compensation on which retirement benefits are to be paid does not include any payments upon termination including accumulated vacation or sick leave payments. The ICMA does not dictate how to handle retirement benefits for termination payouts; however Council management has adopted the Utah Retirement Systems policy for the 401(a) program administered by ICMA.
- 5. We have reviewed the documentation related to four employees who terminated employment during fiscal years 2004 and 2003 and determined that the Council has paid those former employees their compensated absence and related benefits according to the Council's defined policies as described above.
- 6. We have reviewed the Council's accounting for the unused compensated absences paid out upon termination and determined the accounting to be properly accounted for, mathematically correct and in agreement with the Council's Employee Handbook and personnel files. When employment is terminated, compensated absences are paid to the former employee in a lump sum with no employee benefits such as retirement.
- 7. Based on the above procedures, we did not find any instances where the Council received Federal or State funds for retirement expenses which the Council did not incur or the employee did not receive.

We were not engaged to, and did not perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the compensated absences accruals, billings to federal grants and subsequent payouts to former employees. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for your use, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than you.

HANSEN, BARNETT & MAXWELL

Housen Barrell & Lyopwell

January 9, 2007 Salt Lake City, Utah